```
N3JGvoyC
1
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
      In Re: VOYAGER DIGITAL
      HOLDINGS, INC.,
 4
                                               23 Civ. 2171 (JHR)
                 v.
5
                                               Remote Conference
6
                                               New York, N.Y.
 7
                                               March 19, 2023
                                                9:00 p.m.
 8
     Before:
9
                         HON. JENNIFER H. REARDEN,
10
                                               District Judge
11
                                 APPEARANCES
12
      US ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
13
           Attorneys for Appellant United States of America
     BY: LAWRENCE H. FOGELMAN
14
           JEAN-DAVID BARNEA
           LINDA A. RIFFKIN
15
           PETER M. ARONOFF
           RICHARD C. MORRISSEY
16
      KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
17
           Attorneys for Debtor Voyager Digital Holdings, Inc.
      BY: MICHAEL SLADE
           CHRISTINE A. OKIKE
18
19
     MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY, LLP
           Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Debtors
20
     BY: DARREN T. AZMAN
21
22
23
24
25
```

(The Court and all parties present remotely)
(Case called)

THE DEPUTY CLERK: This is a reminder that this is a public proceeding, members of the public and press are able to access the proceeding with a public dial in number. All participants are reminded that any recording or rebroadcasting of this proceeding is strictly prohibited.

You can go ahead, Judge.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Good evening, everybody, and thank you for joining. I do not think we need to be together long. If anybody wants to be heard, please state your name, since we're on the phone tonight and not looking at each other on a Teams meeting, I think that would be the best way to go.

I am not holding this conference to hear arguments on the government's stay application or on the underlying appeal, and I'm not making any findings tonight. We are here on account of the government's emergency stay application, which was filed at the end of the day on Friday. At this point, there have been no business hours to work on this application, and the stay is set to expire in about 20 hours.

As the government itself recognized in one of its papers, this case, which is many months old, but new to the district court has a complex procedural history and presents several important statutory and constitutional arguments.

So far, we have a brief from the government that is more than twice the length allowed by the federal rules of bankruptcy procedure, we have a forthcoming brief from the debtors and a record of orders, decisions and transcripts from the bankruptcy proceedings in the hundreds of pages, at a minimum. What I would like you to do is meet-and-confer and, by 10:00 a.m., tomorrow submit a proposal to extend the stay on consent to give this court an opportunity to review and consider this motion.

I'm not finding that a stay is warranted or not warranted -- I'm not making any finding -- solely that it's necessary to have an extension of the stay to give the Court sufficient time to review and analyze. And this is going to be without prejudice to further extensions and dissolution or modifications.

So with that, I'll pause for a moment and see if anyone has any questions.

MR. SLADE: Your Honor, I apologize, this is Mike Slade for the debtors. How are you?

THE COURT: Hi.

MR. SLADE: So I think I have to talk to my colleagues and the client, the buyer, obviously, because we need to close this transaction. We're planning on closing sometime this coming week. But I guess I would like to know from your Honor what you think is realistic for what time you would need.

Because obviously, the debtors and all of the customers of the debtors need to get this resolved as soon as possible. So we're planning on filing our brief either really late tonight or first thing tomorrow morning, according to the email that your Honor sent around last night, but I don't want to prejudice myself or anybody else who is on the phone that has an interest in the case. So I guess I would just want — before meeting and conferring with my colleagues — I would want to get your Honor's guidance for how much time you think you need, at least just to review the government's submission.

THE COURT: To review the government's submission, to review your submissions -- I don't know if the government is going to want to reply -- to look at the record, anything we think we might need, of course this is intertwined somewhat with the merits, I mean, I think we need a week. Judge Wiles expressed concern as long ago as March 7th about the district court having sufficient time to review, and this is not that.

MR. SLADE: I hear that, your Honor. We'll have to confer with the rest of the group.

I mean, we weren't the ones that waited ten days to file it late in the afternoon on Friday afternoon. So we're just trying to make the best of the situation for the debtors and the customers of the debtor.

When would you like us to get back to you? Did you say tomorrow at 10:00 o'clock in the morning?

THE COURT: Yes, unless you tell me you need until 11:00 or something. With the stay set to expire at 5:00 p.m. and me not knowing what you all are doing, I'm trying to allow a little time after I hear from you to figure out where we're going tomorrow. So I would like 10:00 o'clock, if it's at all possible for you in the next 12 hours to figure out what you can do and come back to me with.

MR. SLADE: Okay, your Honor. We'll meet-and-confer tonight.

As I mentioned this afternoon, I'm in court tomorrow morning. But I will try to talk with the other lawyers and get back to you as soon as possible.

THE COURT: I saw that. Is that at 10:00 a.m.?

MR. SLADE: That's right.

THE COURT: Well, then that's probably sort of a cutoff for you anyway.

MR. SLADE: Your Honor, this is critical for the debtors. I mean, if this doesn't get done, then I think it is a bad day, a bad month, a bad six months for the company and all of its customers. So we will do it tonight.

THE COURT: And your brief, I assume, is going to lay that out; right? Because I know, at this point, nothing about that.

MR. SLADE: That's right.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. AZMAN: Your Honor, it's Darren Azman from McDermott, Will & Emery for the committee. I know you are not asking for any argument, and I am not going to give you any argument obviously this evening. But one, I would echo what Mr. Slade said. Our committee represents the interests of a million customers who lost more than \$1.8 billion of crypto, and this plan is designed to get them back that crypto. And so time is important here.

And I would reiterate what Mr. Slade said, it is the government that waited its time to file this brief. And so I understand your Honor needs to have some time to read the briefing, but there isn't a whole lot of time we have to just keep waiting, because customers are unable to pay their mortgages, they have lost their wedding funds. This is a million customers that we're talking about that lost a lot of their money.

THE COURT: I hear you, and we will move as quickly as we possibly can.

MR. AZMAN: Okay.

THE COURT: Go ahead. I was going to go in a different direction.

MR. AZMAN: I was going to ask Larry Fogelman if he could call me on my cell phone and we could chat right after this conference?

MR. FOGELMAN: Absolutely.

THE COURT: Just two quick questions before we
adjourn. I noticed reference to a brief oral argument that was
held by Judge Wiles on March 6th in regard to the government's
objection to the confirmation plan. I don't think that a
transcript of that portion of the proceedings has been
provided. I'm not sure, but I don't think so. I would like to
have the transcripts of the confirmation proceeding in their
entirety if someone could
MR. SLADE: Your Honor, we do intend to provide that

MR. SLADE: Your Honor, we do intend to provide that along with our responsive brief.

THE COURT: Thank you. That would be helpful.

Also, if the transcript of this proceeding could be ordered, that would be helpful to me as well.

MR. SLADE: What do you mean by "this proceeding," tonight's proceeding?

THE COURT: This conference, yes, because we have a court reporter with us tonight.

MR. SLADE: Yes. We will order that. No problem.

THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you all for joining tonight. And with that, we're adjourned. And we'll look for the debtor's submission overnight and for something from you jointly in the morning. We're adjourned.

(Adjourned)